

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,991	09/16/2003	Leonard F. Bjeldanes	21000/US	4613
80964 ARNOLD & P	7590 09/14/201 ORTER	EXAMINER		
555 TWELFTI	H STREET, NW		BETTON, TIMOTHY E	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-1206		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,991	BJELDANES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1627		

	TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Capriods.	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or it MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of value of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the value of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origithan than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extern Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1: 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants' argue that the limitation drawn to hosts that are subject or predisposed to a pathology does not constitute "virtually anyone" as maintained in the 10z (e) and 10z (b) nejections for alleged reason that neiter ley or Safe explicitly teach the limitation drawn to a host determined to be subject to or predisposed to an androgen-dependent pathology. However, applicants havenot shown to the contrary in the whole specification where the hosts of Farley or Safe are precluded.

Further, the methods employing these active antiandrogenic agents is the central issue of the invention. Safe teach tumors on page 5 in the theriting paragraph at line 2.1 his limitation teasonably reads on prostate hybrid paragraph at one 2.2.2 n, ymention for the treatment of cancer with any of the agents of the claimed invention at the prescribed dosages normally indicated for inter alia cancerous conditions is incidental to any such treatment for the diseases as disclosed in claim 1. The method of admistation as claimed has no patentable weight drawn to the treatment of a disease that was unobserved to be treated earlier if the same effective amount has been prescribed for a well-established disease.